

## PUBLIC HEARINGS

---

- 1. Zoning Code Amendment – DT – Downtown District Residential Uses**
  - 2. Architectural Compatibility Review – 320 South Main Street**
- 

Emporia's City Council held a Public Hearing on Tuesday, February 21, 2012, at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building, located at 201 South Main Street, Emporia, Virginia. Mayor Samuel W. Adams, III presided over the session.

The following City Council members were present:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris  
Councilman James C. Saunders  
Councilwoman Doris T. White  
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon  
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple  
Councilwoman Beverly White

Others present: Samuel W. Adams, III, Mayor  
C. Butler Barrett, City Attorney  
Brian S. Thrower, City Manager  
Steven B. Hall, Assistant City Manager  
Tessie S. Wilkins, City Clerk  
Don Wyatt, Chief of Police  
W. S. Harris, Jr., Treasurer  
Joyce E. Prince, Commissioner of the Revenue  
Royal Jones, Public Works Director

Absent: Councilwoman Carolyn S. Carey

### **1. Zoning Code Amendment – DT – Downtown District Residential Uses**

Mr. Hall stated that per Council direction at the January 17, 2012 Regular Meeting, that this item was presented to the City Planning Commission on February 14 for review and recommendation. He also stated that this item comes before Council this evening for consideration. He further stated that the issue at hand is amending the zoning code pertaining to residential uses in the DT – Downtown District. He stated that allowing residential uses on the ground-floor by conditional use and permitting above ground-floor residences by right. He also stated that Council raised the idea of allowing specific types of business to possess ground-floor residential by right and others by Conditional Use Permit only.

Mr. Hall stated that he had completed the research regarding ground-floor residential uses in downtown zoning districts. He also stated that the results of the research yielded no examples of localities differentiating between one business and another regarding residential use downtown. He further stated that this does not seem feasible due to the sheer number of business classifications that exist.

Mr. Hall stated that he identified what appeared to be the main downtown zoning district for eight localities and reviewed provisions for residential uses. He also stated that all eight localities permitted residential use in some form or fashion. He further stated that three of the eight localities allowed residential uses on the ground floor by right. He stated that the City of Salem allows ground floor residential use by special exception except for its main downtown thoroughfares. He also stated that the City of Radford allows residential use above or below the ground floor by special use only. He further stated that the City of Martinsville only allows residential use above or below the ground floor by right. He stated that the City of Charlottesville allows residential use only as a part of a larger mixed-use development. He also stated that the Town of South Hill allows residential use in existing building where a business is the primary use.

Mr. Hall stated that the potential amendments to the DT – Downtown District of the City of Emporia Zoning Ordinance may include the following:

- Allowing residential uses above ground floor by right (currently conditional use).
- Allowing residential uses on the ground floor of a business by conditional use only. Any ground-floor residential use proposal floor of a business by conditional use only. Any business and be occupied by the property owner(s), business owner(s) or employee(s) of the business only. Additionally, the apartment shall not be visible from the commercial space and occupy no more than 50 percent of total ground floor area as shown in a floor plan provided to the City for review. All Building Code provisions for residential living shall also be met.

Mr. Hall reported that on February 14, 2012, the Planning Commission held a Public Hearing on this item. He also reported that after a lengthy discussion, the Planning Commissioners had a consensus that strongly opposed amending the code, particularly as it pertains to ground-floor residential use. He further reported that numerous concerns included deviating from original provisions which have been successful in the DT district, opening a “can of worms” with potential applicants for ground-floor residential, a potential negative impact to existing and future commercial/retail and a potential crime increase.

*Clint Slate, 103 Beech Tree Lane*, addressed Council with concerns in regard to the altering of the downtown district zoning code pertaining to residential uses on the ground-floor. He stated that the zoning as it exists has not posed a problem for any property-owners/business-owners in a downtown district, except for one. He also stated that changing the zoning to accommodate one specific business, he feel is not a step in the right direction for the City. He further stated that the code amendment clearly appears to be going in a different direction of the original plan for the downtown district. He stated that approving this request would encourage others to act first and ask for zoning changes after receiving letters of non-compliance from the City administration.

Mayor Adams asked if anyone else wished to speak concerning the matter.

With there being no more comments to come before City Council, Mayor Adams declared the first public hearing closed and proceeded to the second public hearing.

## **2. Architectural Compatibility Review – 320 South Main Street**

Mr. Hall stated that Mr. Reggie Owens and Greenville County seek architectural compatibility review approval for the “Phoenix Building” located at 320 South Main Street. He also stated that this property is located in the DT – Downtown District and is identified as Tax Map Number 182-A-2-4. He further stated that the County is proposing to construct a 50’4”, three-segment awning at the front building line just above the entrance. He stated that the awning will extend 4’ off the front of the building. He also stated that the existing balcony is proposed to be demolished.

Mr. Hall stated that this is permitted use in the Downtown District; however, section 90-80(k)(1) requires that the Planning Commission review the proposal for architectural compatibility and forward its recommendation to City Council. He also stated that alterations to existing buildings fall under the review requirements.

Mr. Hall reported that Section 90-1 defines the term “alteration,” as any change in the total floor area, use, adaptability or external appearance of an existing structure.

Mayor Adams asked if anyone else wished to speak concerning the matter.

With no further matters to be presented before City Council members, Mayor Adams declared the public hearing closed.

---

Samuel W. Adams, III, Mayor

---

Tessie S. Wilkins, City Clerk

**MINUTES  
EMPORIA CITY COUNCIL  
CITY OF EMPORIA MUNICIPAL BUILDING  
February 21, 2012**

*Note to Reader: Although the printed agenda document for this City Council meeting is not part of these minutes, the agenda document provides background information on the items discussed by City Council during the meeting. A copy of the agenda document for this meeting may be obtained by contacting the Office of the City Clerk.*

Emporia City Council held a regular meeting on Tuesday, February 21, 2012 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Building, located at 201 South Main Street, Emporia, Virginia. Mayor Samuel W. Adams, III presided over the meeting with Bishop Vincent Lowery offering the invocation.

**ROLL CALL**

The following City Council members were present:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris  
Councilman James C. Saunders  
Councilwoman Doris T. White  
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon  
Councilwoman Beverly White  
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple

Others present:

Samuel W. Adams, III, Mayor  
C. Butler Barrett, City Attorney  
Brian S. Thrower, City Manager  
Steve B. Hall, Assistant City Manager  
Tessie S. Wilkins, City Clerk  
Joyce E. Prince, Commissioner of the Revenue  
Don Wyatt, Chief of Police  
W. S. Harris, Treasurer

Absent:

Councilwoman Carolyn S. Carey

**MINUTES APPROVAL**

Councilwoman Temple moved to approve the minutes from the Tuesday, February 7, 2012, City Council meeting minutes as presented, seconded by Councilwoman D. White, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye
Councilwoman Dale Temple	aye

## **APPROVAL OF BILLS**

A listing of the February 21, 2012 bills was presented to City Council members.

General Fund	\$	952,684.32
Utility Fund	\$	217,084.80

Councilman Saunders moved to approve the February 21, 2012 bills as presented, seconded by Councilwoman Temple, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye
Councilwoman Dale Temple	aye

## **FINANCIAL AND TAX REPORTS**

Honorable W. S. Harris, Jr., City Treasurer provided City Council members with highlights of the City's financial position. There were no questions concerning his reports.

## **COMMISSIONER OF THE REVENUE REPORT**

Honorable Joyce E. Prince, Commissioner of the Revenue provided her report to City Council members. There were no questions regarding her report.

## **PERMIT AND INSPECTION REPORT**

Randy C. Pearce, Building/Fire Official provided his report to City Council members. There were no questions concerning his report.

## **POLICE REPORT**

Don Wyatt, Chief of Police provided his report to City Council members. There were no questions concerning his report.

## **CITY SHERIFF REPORT**

Sam C. Brown, Sheriff provided his report to City Council members. There were no questions concerning his report.

## **CITY ATTORNEY REPORT**

C. Butler Barrett, City Attorney had no matters to report to City Council members.

**AGENDA APPROVAL**

Councilwoman B. White moved to approve the agenda as presented, seconded by Councilman Saunders, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye
Councilwoman Dale Temple	aye

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

***12-11. Citizens Advisory Board – 2 Term Expirations***

Mayor Adams stated that this item was continued from the February 7, 2012 City Council meeting. He also stated that the following individuals are currently serving 2-year terms on the City’s Citizens Advisory Board that will expire on February 28, 2012: Bill Howell, Vicelle Daniels, Reverend Alex Bailey, Jessie O’Nery, Barry Mayfield, Nathaniel Dupree, and Meade Horne. He also stated that those seven individual have served the maximum length of time and are not eligible to be reappointed.

Councilwoman Temple moved to nominate Cecelia Allen for consideration to serve a two years term on the Citizens Advisory Board.

Councilwoman B. White moved to nominate Gale Wyche for consideration to serve a two years term on the Citizens Advisory Board.

Councilwoman D. White moved to nominate Joel Claiborne Jr. for consideration to serve a two years term on the Citizens Advisory Board.

Councilwoman Dixon moved to nominate Jason Slage for consideration to serve a two years term on the Citizens Advisory Board.

Councilwoman B. White moved to appoint Cecelia Allen, Gale Wyche, Joel Claiborne Jr., and Jason Slage to serve a two years term on the Citizens Advisory Board, seconded by Councilman Saunders, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman D. White	aye
Councilwoman B. White	aye

## NEW BUSINESS

### *12-13. Zoning Code Amendment – DT – Downtown District Residential Uses*

Mr. Hall stated that per Council direction at the January 17, 2012 Regular Meeting, that this item was presented to the City Planning Commission on February 14 for review and recommendation. He also stated that this item comes before Council this evening for consideration. He further stated that the issue at hand is amending the zoning code pertaining to residential uses in the DT – Downtown District. He stated that allowing residential uses on the ground-floor by conditional use and permitting above ground-floor residences by right. He also stated that Council raised the idea of allowing specific types of business to possess ground-floor residential by right and others by Conditional Use Permit only.

Mr. Hall stated that he had completed the research regarding ground-floor residential uses in downtown zoning districts. He also stated that the results of the research yielded no examples of localities differentiating between one business and another regarding residential use downtown. He further stated that this does not seem feasible due to the sheer number of business classifications that exist.

Mr. Hall stated that he identified what appeared to be the main downtown zoning district for eight localities and reviewed provisions for residential uses. He also stated that all eight localities permitted residential use in some form or fashion. He further stated that three of the eight localities allowed residential uses on the ground floor by right. He stated that the City of Salem allows ground floor residential use by special exception except for its main downtown thoroughfares. He also stated that the City of Radford allows residential use above or below the ground floor by special use only. He further stated that the City of Martinsville only allows residential use above or below the ground floor by right. He stated that the City of Charlottesville allows residential use only as a part of a larger mixed-use development. He also stated that the Town of South Hill allows residential use in existing building where a business is the primary use.

Mr. Hall stated that the potential amendments to the DT – Downtown District of the City of Emporia Zoning Ordinance may include the following:

- Allowing residential uses above ground floor by right (currently conditional use).
- Allowing residential uses on the ground floor of a business by conditional use only. Any ground-floor residential use proposal floor of a business by conditional use only. Any business and be occupied by the property owner(s), business owner(s) or employee(s) of the business only. Additionally, the apartment shall not be visible from the commercial space and occupy no more than 50 percent of total ground floor area as shown in a floor plan provided to the City for review. All Building Code provisions for residential living shall also be met.

Mr. Hall reported that on February 14, 2012, the Planning Commission held a Public Hearing on this item. He also reported that after a lengthy discussion, the Planning Commissioners had a consensus that strongly opposed amending the code, particularly as it pertains to ground-floor residential use. He further reported that numerous concerns included deviating from original provisions which have been successful in the DT district, opening a “can of worms” with potential applicants for ground-floor residential, a potential negative impact to existing and future commercial/retail and a potential crime increase.

Mr. Hall reported that the Planning Commission voted to recommend no amending to the code and leaving the language the way it is currently written.

Councilman Harris made a motion to adopt **Ordinance No. 12-03** to Amend Chapter 90 Zoning Sec. 90-80. DT downtown district to read as follows: (b) Uses permitted. Permitted uses in a DT district shall be as follows: Radio and telecommunication stations with conditional use permit. Residential uses, single and multi-family, above ground level floors. Residential use, apartment, on the ground level floor with conditional use permit provided the dwelling is located at the rear of the structure and is occupied by the current property owner(s), or business owner(s) of a business operating in the structure. Furthermore, the residential area should not be visible from the commercial space and shall not occupy more than 50% of total ground floor area as shown in a floor plan provided to the City for review, seconded by Councilman Saunders, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	nay
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye
Councilwoman Dale Temple	aye

Councilman Harris stated that by making the amendment to the DT downtown district area as a progress of something that had been proving to work.

#### ***12-14. Architectural Compatibility Review – 320 South Main Street***

Mr. Hall stated that Mr. Reggie Owens and Greenville County seek architectural compatibility review approval for the “Phoenix Building” located at 320 South Main Street. He also stated that this property is located in the DT – Downtown District and is identified as Tax Map Number 182-A-2-4. He further stated that the County is proposing to construct a 50’4”, three-segment awning at the front building line just above the entrance. He stated that the awning will extend 4’ off the front of the building. He also stated that the existing balcony is proposed to be demolished.

Mr. Hall stated that this is permitted use in the Downtown District; however, section 90-80(k)(1) requires that the Planning Commission review the proposal for architectural compatibility and forward its recommendation to City Council. He also stated that alterations to existing buildings fall under the review requirements.

Mr. Hall reported that Section 90-1 defines the term “alteration,” as any change in the total floor area, use, adaptability or external appearance of an existing structure.

Mr. Hall reported that the Planning Commission offered a recommendation of approval to City Council at its February 14, 2012 Regular Meeting. He recommended that Council approve this request.

Councilman Saunders made a motion to approve the Architectural Compatibility at 320 South Main Street, seconded by Councilwoman Temple, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye
Councilwoman Dale Temple	aye

***12-15. Chamber of Commerce Building – Civic Center Foundation***

Mr. Hall reported that the City received a request from Ms. Mary Woodruff of the Emporia-Greenville Civic Center Foundation (CCF) requesting use of the Chamber of Commerce Building as the organization’s new office. He also reported that this request is a result of the pending closing of the South Main Street branch of Gateway Bank, where the CCF’s current office is located.

Mr. Hall stated that to his understanding Mr. Ken Ryals had viewed the building and confirmed there was available space. He also stated that Ms. Woodruff’s letter indicated that she is familiar with the size of the offices and their needs would fit in any of the spaces. He further stated that he spoke with Ms. Laverne Jolly, Chamber President and Ms. Gail Bass on February 14, 2012 and neither saw an issue should this request be approved.

Councilman Harris moved to approve the Emporia-Greenville Civic Center Foundation (CCF) use of the Chamber of Commerce Building as the organization’s new office, seconded by Councilwoman Temple, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye

***12-16. Conditional Use Permit Review – 608 Brunswick Avenue***

Mr. Hall stated that at Council March 15, 2011 Regular Meeting, Ms. Jacqueline Alamawi was granted a conditional use permit (CUP) to operate a “Licensed Family Day Home” at 608 Brunswick Avenue, identified as tax map number 160-3-BK- C-2.

Mr. Hall reported that Council initially approved of the permit including the following conditions:

- ❖ A progress review by City Council at six and 18 months, and
- ❖ Full compliance with the Building Code and securing all necessary permits prior to opening, and
- ❖ Full compliance with Department of Social Service and state requirements for a day care with six through 12 children.

Mr. Hall reported that to date the following review meetings have been held:

- ❖ September 20, 2011 – six month review, permit continued for 90 days

- ❖ December 20, 2011 – 90-day review, permit continued for 30 days
- ❖ January 17, 2012 – 30-day review, permit continued for 30 days

Mr. Hall stated that on Thursday, January 12, Mr. Bernard Prince obtained a permit application. He also stated that an alteration and plumbing permit have since been secured by Mr. Prince. He further stated that it is expected that the electrical and mechanical permits will be secured in the immediate future.

Mr. Hall stated that per progress reports from the City Staff members Mike Allen and Randy Pearce, Mr. Prince is currently working on-site and progress is being made. He also stated that Mr. Pearce’s report from Wednesday, February 15, 2012 indicated that Mr. Prince has taken the project to another level and had no current concerns regarding funding or other issues with the owner.

He recommended that Council approve the conditional use permit for a period of six months with a progress review at that time.

Councilman Saunders moved to approve the conditional use permit for six months with a progress review at that time, seconded by Councilwoman B. White, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye

***12-17. Greenville County School System – FY13 Budget Request Presentation***

***Presentation by Dr. Philip Worrell, Superintendent***

Dr. Worrell provided an overview of the FY13 Greenville County School Budget based on the Governor budget, which included, but was not limited to, the following:

<u>Items requiring additional local budgeting</u>	
Increase in State and Local VRS Costs for Rates Recommended by Governor...	-\$775,000
Additional State Money for VRS.....	\$428,922
Local Funds Needed for VSR.....	-\$346,078
 <u>Money needed to Equal FY2011-2012 Salaries and VRS</u>	
Reduction in Local Funds Filled with Jobs Bill Money .....	-\$372,000
Local Funds Needed for VRS .....	-\$346,078
Total	-\$718,078
Money needed if Jobs Bill Money Restored.....	\$372,000
	-\$346,078
 Money needed if Jobs Bill Money restored and Local Funds Increase	
Equal to change in RLE.....	\$331,499
	-\$ 14,579

Dr. Worrell gave examples that would cut the budget by \$700,000 (but not a recommendation), which included, but not limited to, the following: employees to pick up the increase in health insurance, reduction in teacher's supplements, reduction in high school sports and co-curricular activities, elimination of middle school sports, the cutting of seven teaching positions, the cutting of support staff (ten positions), these cuts will not include the possible additional \$150,000 in unemployment insurance costs, therefore these cuts will only equal \$550,000 in savings.

Dr. Worrell stated that VRS effect on the Federal Program will increase cost by over \$60,000.00. He also stated that cuts will need to be made in Title VIB and Title I to cover this increase. He further stated that if GES makes AYP, SES may not be required, which will free up \$158,000.00.

Dr. Worrell stated that the FY12-13 Operating Budget, which include, but was not limited to, the following: no raises for any staff, an increase in VRS, a five percent increase in health insurance, an increase for diesel fuel for buses, increase money for bus repairs, and restoring tutoring to all schools.

Dr. Worrell stated that the debt service concerns for FY13-14 include:

- Debt service increases by \$30,000.00 in FY13-14
- Available funds in contingency reserve will be reduced by \$220,000 for FY 13-14
- This leaves a deficit of \$250,000.
- If the projected local FY 11-12 balance of \$142,000 is transferred to the contingency reserve, the deficit is reduced to \$108,000
- Debt service begins to reduce by \$40,000 a year beginning in FY 14-15
- Debt service drops by \$700,000 in FY 18-19.

He stated that the Greensville County School Board requests that the Greensville County Board of Supervisors and the Emporia City Council transfer any available unspent local school division appropriations identified in the previous year's audit to the category Contingency Reserves. He also that these funds will be used to offset future increases in debt service or for other school division capital/maintenance projects as appropriated by the Board of Supervisors and City Council.

Dr. Worrell stated that the Governor has proposed that state employees receive a three percent bonus with savings at the end of the fiscal year. He also stated that Greensville County Schools may have savings to do the same. He further stated that the budget adopted in May 2011 left \$139,000 in unobligated Jobs Bill funds, with the goal of saving at least another \$125,000 with new personnel hired for FY11-12. He stated that depending on savings available at the end of the year, staff could receive a two percent or three percent bonus.

Dr. Worrell stated that the effects of budget cuts on student achievement will include, but was not limited to, the following:

- Greensville county Schools have made significant gains in student achievement over the last years
- Even with the tremendous cuts to school division funds, disadvantaged students in Greensville County School continue to excel with 72% of test scores exceeding the state average in 2011 (compared to 75% in 2010)

- While disadvantaged scores exceeded the state average last year, we still experienced an actual decrease in 18 of the 32 tests
- Additional decreases in student achievement can be expected if local funds are not restored to the school division.

Mayor Adams inquired how many members are on the school board. Dr. Worrell advised that there are six members. Mayor Adams inquired about school board members getting a pay increase. Dr. Worrell stated that they were elected member in the County and as by state law elected school board members set their own pay salary.

Mayor Adams inquired if the money left from the Job Bills could be used to help offset next school year debt, instead of giving the proposed bonus to teachers. Dr. Worrell advised that the money could not be carried over to the next year. Dr. Worrell stated that he would like for the teachers to be rewarded for there good works.

Councilman Harris stated that according to local funding requirement set by the state, the City is already funding the school division 28.9 percent above the local requirement, and the County is funding the division at 14.5 percent. He also stated that the City funding for SOQ and lottery is 11.7 percent above the local requirement, and the County is .56 percent.

No action taken by Council.

***12-18. Board of Equalization Nominations***

Mr. Thrower stated that Judge W. Allan Sharrett has requested Council reconsider its nominations to the Board of Equalization.

Mayor Adams stated that this item is to be held until the March 6, 2012 Regular Meeting.

***12-19. Emporia Redevelopment & Housing Authority – Term Expiration (Marva J. Dunn)***

Mr. Thrower stated that on March 1, 2012 Ms. Marva J. Dunn’s four year term on the City of Emporia’s Redevelopment & Housing Authority Board will expire. He also stated that Ms. Dunn has indicated that she does wish to be considered reappointment.

Councilman Harris moved to reappoint Marva J. Dunn to serve a four years term on the Emporia Redevelopment & Housing Authority Board.

Councilman Saunders moved to reappoint Marva J. Dunn to serve a four years term on the Emporia Redevelopment & Housing Authority Board, seconded by Councilwoman Temple, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye

***12-20. Industrial Development Authority – Term Expirations***

Mr. Thrower stated that Ruth W. Tillar, Roland E. Weaver, and David Bland are currently serving four year terms on the City's Industrial Development authority Board that will expire on February 28, 2012. He also stated that all has indicated that they do wish to be considered for reappointment.

Councilman Saunders moved to reappoint Ruth W. Tillar, Roland E. Weaver, and David Bland to serve a four year terms on the Industrial Development Authority Board.

Councilman Saunders moved to reappoint Ruth W. Tillar, Roland E. Weaver, and David Bland to serve a four year term on the Industrial Development Authority Board, seconded by Councilwoman Temple, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mayor Adams asked if anyone wished to bring a matter before City Council members adhering to the three minute time limitation.

*James Browning, 1143 North Main Street*, addressed Council with concern about the speed of police office doing the chase on South Main Street. He also asked if Council would consider help funding a block party for the Angel Tree.

*Clint Slate, 103 Beech Tree Lane*, addressed Council inquiring about the School Board Meeting time.

With there being no further comments to come before City Council, Mayor Adams closed the public comment portion of the meeting.

**\*\*\*Closed Session\*\*\***

Councilwoman Temple moved that Closed Session be entered for the purpose of discussing Virginia Code § 2.2 3711 (A) (3) **Disposition of publicly held real property pertaining to the former Emporia Elementary School**. Councilwoman B. White seconded the motion, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye

**\*\*\*Regular Session\*\*\***

Councilwoman Dixon moved that the meeting be returned to Regular Session. Councilwoman Temple seconded the motion, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye

-----

**CERTIFICATION**

Councilwoman Dixon moved to certify the following:

1. only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were discussed in the Closed Session to which this certification applies, and
2. only such public business matter as were identified in the motion by which the Closed Session was convened were heard, discussed, or considered by City Council.

Councilwoman Temple seconded the motion, which passed as follows:

Councilman F. Woodrow Harris	aye
Councilman James C. Saunders	aye
Councilwoman Doris T. White	aye
Councilwoman Deborah D. Dixon	aye
Councilwoman L. Dale Temple	aye
Councilwoman Beverly White	aye

**ADJOURNMENT**

With no further business to come before City Council, Mayor Adams adjourned the meeting.

---

Samuel W. Adams, III, Mayor

---

Tessie S. Wilkins, City Clerk